Winchester City
Council
Planning Department
Development Control

Committee Decision

TEAM MANAGER SIGN OFF SHEET

| Case No: | 08/00838/FUL | Valid Date | 3 April 2008 | |
|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--|
| W No: | 20243/01 | Recommendation Date | 3 July 2008 | |
| Case Officer: | Mr Andrew Rushmer | 8 Week Date | 3 July 2008 | |
| | | Committee date | 24 th July 2008 | |
| Recommendation: | Application Refused | Decision: | Committee Decision | |

| Proposal: | Proposal: Equestrian use of land and construction of access track (Amended Description) | | |
|-----------|---|--|--|
| | | | |
| Site: | Fields Off Harrow Gate Lane Denmead Hampshire | | |
| | | | |

| Open Space Y/N | Legal Agreement | S.O.S | Objections | EIA Development | Monitoring Code | Previous Developed Land |
|-------------------|--------------------|-------|--|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| | N | N | Y = 4 and the Parish Council and the AONB Panel | N | N | N |

| DELEGATED ITEM SIGN OFF | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | for | REFUSE the reason(s) listed | | | |
| | Signature | Date | | | |
| CASE OFFICER | | | | | |
| TEAM MANAGER | | | | | |

AMENDED PLANS DATE:-

Item No:

Case No: 08/00838/FUL / W20243/01

Proposal Description: Equestrian use of land and construction of access track

(Amended Description)

Address: Fields Off Harrowgate Lane Denmead Hampshire

Parish/Ward: Denmead
Applicants Name: Miss C Jeffries
Case Officer: Mr Andrew Rushmer

Date Valid: 3 April 2008

Site Factors: AONB

Recommendation: Application Refused

General Comments

This application is reported to Committee because of the number of letters of support received.

Site Description

The application site comprises two enclosures created by the subdivision of what was previously a much larger field extending along the side of the valley to the north side of Harrowgate Lane.

There is a public footpath extending along the valley bottom, linking into other footpaths to the east which afford extensive views along this very attractive area of countryside within the East Hampshire Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, which is also within the area of the proposed South Downs National Park.

The landscape of the area is one of large open fields and rolling hills, interspersed with copses of mature trees.

The two enclosures are next to another enclosure, which was the subject of a previous application (ref: 06/02403/FUL) which was refused and dismissed at appeal, but is still being used for equestrian purposes. Three mobile field shelters are also already present on the site of the proposed application.

The track leading to the site is currently the subject of enforcement action (Enforcement Notice / Stop Notice) and an appeal is in progress.

Proposal

The proposal is for the equestrian use of land and construction of an access track (off Pithill Lane). The access track, which has been partly constructed, is to be constructed from scalpings, meaning that it will grass-over to a significant degree after construction.

Relevant Planning History

06/02403/FUL / W20243 - Erection of tack room, hay store and two stables - Refused 03/10/2006 - Appeal Dismissed 14/06/2007

Consultations

Landscape Team: Objects

Considers the proposal to be unacceptable in landscape terms as the site lies within the AONB and, under Policy CE6 of the Winchester District Local Plan Review 2006, the Local Planning Authority should be seeking to conserve and enhance the landscape character of the countryside. The character of this area is described in the Landscape Character Assessment under the Hambledon Downs Landscape Character Area, and one of the key issues in this area around Hambledon is the impact of horticulture, including the subdivision of fields to form paddocks.

Furthermore, the Council's Landscape Architect states that, from the entrance to the site, the field shelters appear as an eye-catching and alien feature in the landscape, lacking any surrounding landscape structure planting and clearly visible from the public path, from where the proposed hard-standing would also be visible.

South Downs Joint Committee: Objects

The South Downs Joint Committee states that experience has shown that equestrian uses can have very harmful consequences to landscape character, and this has resulted in clear policies being set out in the South Downs Planning Guidelines to address this issue. Of particular concern is the subdivision (often with inappropriate fencing) of larger fields into smaller holdings, each of which would then be likely to require a separate animal shelter and associated buildings, such as have been proposed for this land. The consequential cumulative impact can have considerable impact on the character of the landscape, transforming a previously very rural, simple countryside into a more fragmented landscape with a suburban or urban fringe character.

The change of use of the land to equestrian would allow an intensive use of the land (beyond simple grazing) and could lead to problems of erosion, thus further undermining the character and visual amenity of the landscape.

Furthermore, the South Downs Joint Committee states that experience has shown that equestrian use of land requires regular visits by owners to feed and exercise horses, with other, less regular traffic generated by horseboxes moving animals on and off of the site, deliveries of feed, and visits by vets.

The South Downs Joint Committee also considers that the access track represents an additional intrusion into the countryside.

Finally, the South Downs Joint Committee considers that permitting the present application would represent a dangerous precedent, making it difficult for the Local Planning Authority to resist similar applications.

Representations:

Denmead Parish Council: Objects

Considers that the proposal represents an undesirable intrusion into an area of countryside, in which no development should take place other than that essential to agriculture, and related uses, and that the proposal would detract from the undeveloped rural character and visual amenities of the locality. Furthermore, the Parish Council considers that the proposal would set a dangerous precedent. In addition, the Parish

Council points out that the roads leading to and from the site are of inadequate width to accommodate safely the additional traffic generated by the use of the land for equestrian purposes. Finally, the Parish Council states that the previous applications since 1988 at this site have been refused.

4 letters received objecting to the application for the following reasons:

- Proposed change of use would set a dangerous precedent;
- Detrimental impact on highway safety;
- Proposal would undermine the character of the landscape;
- Detrimental impact to the visual amenity of the area caused by activities and equipment; inevitably associated with the equestrian use of land;
- This is not a suitable location for equestrian use of land.

6 Letters of support, stating the following reasons:

- Track will have no visual impact;
- The horses are a pleasant sight;
- The visual impact will be softened by trees which have been planted;
- Equestrian use goes hand in hand with farming;
- Not all change is bad;
- Paddocks have enhanced the beauty of the AONB;
- A track is also important in order to achieve quick access to the horses;
- Compared to recent applications which have been permitted this one is relatively minor;
- More attractive than empty land;
- The initial 'greyness' of the track will soon disappear;
- There are lots of other tracks in the area.

Relevant Planning Policy

Winchester District Local Plan Review 2006

RT.11, CE5, CE.6

National Planning Policy Guidance/Statements:

PPS 7 - Sustainable Development in Rural Areas

<u>Supplementary Planning Guidance:</u>

Equestrian Development

Planning Considerations

Principle of development

The principle of the use of the land in question for equestrian purposes is not considered to be acceptable. The Planning Inspector in the appeal at the neighbouring site stated that:

'It is evident...that the special quality of the landscape could be seriously degraded by the cumulative effect of relatively modest development'.

Furthermore, the South Downs Planning Guidelines (adopted October 2007) state that there should be a presumption against the subdivision of existing fields, unless there is

particular justification such as the restoration of landscape character through reinstatement of lost hedgerows (EQ17).

The proposal is also considered to create a dangerous precedent, making it more difficult for the Local Planning Authority to resist similar applications. When determining the appeal at the neighbouring site, the Planning Inspector also stated that:

'In an area of such natural beauty it is important to maintain tight control over new development and to grant planning permission for an unsuitable development would inevitably make it more difficult for the Council to exercise control over other proposals'.

The proposal is not considered to accord with Policy RT11 of the Winchester District Local Plan Review 2006, as permitting the change of use to equestrian land will inevitably result in a need for new buildings (though, in relation to this site, the evidence of what is already on site suggests that this will be in the form of mobile field shelters, which, it is submitted, will have the same visual impact as buildings), and, as is evidenced from the landscape consultation outlined above, this will have a detrimental impact on the visual amenity of the area and the landscape character.

Impact on the character of the area

The proposal is considered to be contrary to Policy CE6 of the Winchester District Local Plan Review 2006, as the proposal would harm the natural beauty, amenity, tranquillity and distinctive character of the landscape of the AONB, and is not essential for the economic or social wellbeing of the area. More specifically, the use of the land for equestrian purposes creates the potential for the location on the site of various chattels and equipment associated with equestrian use, such as mobile field shelters, over which the Local Planning Authority would have no control, and this would potentially undermine the natural beauty of the landscape. Furthermore, the equestrian use of the land will result in vehicles having to visit the site (e.g. the owner of the horses (often once a day, Equestrian Development Supplementary Planning Guidance 4.36), vets and horseboxes), which will undermine the tranquillity of the AONB. The presence of such vehicles on the site will also have a detrimental impact on the visual amenity of the area (this point is echoed in the Equestrian Development Supplementary Planning Guidance - 4.36). The South Downs Joint Committee, in their adopted planning guideline, also draw attention to the tendency for equestrian uses to require buildings for the storage of machinery, equipment and feed, as well as the placing on the land of storage containers, vans and caravans, which would further undermine the visual amenity and character of the AONB (section C3.9-10).

The concerns about the impact on the visual amenity of the area are also highlighted by the Landscape Team, with the field shelters described as appearing eye-catching and an alien feature, which are clearly visible from the public footpath running through the field in question.

The proposal would also contradict the landscape strategy for the area outlined in the Landscape Character Assessment 2004, which states that the strategy in this area is to:

'conserve and enhance the remote character and contrasting scale of open farmland and enclosed wooded areas of the clay plateau'.

With regard to the access track itself, its initial construction was considered to be visually intrusive and harmful to the character and appearance of the area, however, it is likely that it will grass over and therefore its impact will reduce over time. At the time of the site visit, it was concealed to a large extent by the crops in the surrounding field, although this will only be for a few months a year. However, there remains a concern with the position of the track, which extends into an open field. Equestrian related vehicles accessing the paddocks and parking on the hard-standing will be visually intrusive within the open landscape, particularly from the nearby public footpaths, and for this reason the track is considered to be unacceptable. It is also considered likely that, if permission is granted for the track, it will set a precedent and make it difficult to resist any future proposals to extend the track up to the second paddock.

Highways/Parking

In connection with the appeal at the neighbouring site, which utilised the same access onto Pithill Lane, the Planning Inspector considered the highways aspects to that scheme, and had no concerns. Therefore, it is considered that it would not be reasonable to raise any highway concerns in connection with this current application.

Recommendation

Application Refused for the following reasons:

Reasons

- 1. The proposed change of use of the land to equestrian and the associated use of the access track and hard-standing is considered to be contrary to Policies CE.5 and CE.6 of the Winchester District Local Plan Review 2006, as it would harm the natural beauty, amenity, tranquillity and distinctive character of the East Hampshire Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, and there are no economic or social reasons to justify overriding these policies.
- 2. The proposal would create an undesirable precedent which would make it difficult to refuse further similar applications.

Informative

The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following Development Plan policies and proposals:-

Winchester District Local Plan Review 2006: RT11, CE5, CE6 Planning Policy Statement 7 Equestrian Supplementary Planning Guidance